# **EXHIBIT 5**

#### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 2 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

--000--

ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; ORACLE, USA, INC., a Colorado Corporation, and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California Corporation,

Plaintiffs,

Vs. No. 07-CV-01658-PJH (EDL)

SAP AG, a German Corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware CORPORATION, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas Corporation, and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF

ORACLE CORPORATION

Designee: RICHARD CUMMINS

\_\_\_\_

Tuesday, September 16, 2008

Volume I, Pages 1 - 255

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Reported By: WENDY E. ARLEN, CSR #4355, CRR, RMR Job 412495

Merrill Legal Solutions (800) 869-9132

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 3 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 86	Page 88
Page 87	Page 89  1 Q. Was there a particular organization within 2 Oracle that was responsible for that at risk report? 3 A. Yeah, it was our organization.  11:20 4 Q. Were you particularly in particular 5 responsible for that report? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. When did that report first commence? 8 A. It was in 2005.  11:20 9 Q. Do you recall the month? 10 A. It was May of 2005. May May or June of 11 2005.

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 4 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

		Page 90	Page 92
	1	Q. MR. McDONELL: So the at risk reporting began	
	2	in May of '05, a few months after Oracle acquired	
	3	PeopleSoft, right?	
11:21	4	A. Yes.	
	5	Q. What was the genesis of it? How did it get	
	6	started?	
	7	A. We had significant concern because we were	
	8	seeing a lot of losses or we were seeing losses to	
11:22	9	TomorrowNow, and so we wanted to make sure that we	
	10	tracked those losses very specifically.	
'			l e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
•			
,			
		Page 91	Page 93
ı			
ı			
ı			
ļ			
1			
			' I
1			

24 (Pages 90 to 93)

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 5 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

		Page 190	Page 192
1			
ı			
1			
	17	Q. MR. McDONELL: Are there any companies other	
	18	than the companies listed on your at risk report that	
15:3		provide support for JD Edwards and PeopleSoft	
	20	products?	
	21	A. The the way they get on that at risk	
	22	report is that they are reported by a sales rep. And	
1	23	so, you know, by no means do we go out and try and	
15:3	30 24 25	list everybody we could possibly find. It's those are the ones that have been identified by our at risk	
		Page 191	Page 193
	1	customers.	
ı			
ı			
l			
I			
1			
]			
) 			

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 6 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 202	Page 204
Page 203	Page 205
	8 Q. And give me the time line. When did you 15:48 9 start first start compiling that information? 10 A. It was roughly May or June of 2005.

52 (Pages 202 to 205)

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 7 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

	Page 20	6	Page 208
	5		5
l		I	
I		· 	
ı		I	
I		1	
	?	<u> </u>	
	Page 20	7	Page 209
	Page 20	7	Page 209
	Page 20	7	Page 209
	Page 20	7	Page 209
	Page 20	7	Page 209
	Page 20	7	Page 209
	Page 20	7	Page 209
	Page 20	7	Page 209
	Page 20	7	Page 209
	Page 20	7	Page 209
		7	Page 209
	12 Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is	7	Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>12 Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>13 from January this year, 2008.</li> </ul>		Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>12 Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>13 from January this year, 2008.</li> <li>14 A. Okay.</li> </ul>	7	Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>12 Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>13 from January this year, 2008.</li> </ul>	7	Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>from January this year, 2008.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Is there any subsequent report that you're</li> </ul>	7	Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>from January this year, 2008.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Is there any subsequent report that you're</li> <li>aware of?</li> </ul>	7	Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>from January this year, 2008.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Is there any subsequent report that you're</li> <li>aware of?</li> </ul>		Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>from January this year, 2008.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Is there any subsequent report that you're</li> <li>aware of?</li> </ul>		Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>from January this year, 2008.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Is there any subsequent report that you're</li> <li>aware of?</li> </ul>		Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>from January this year, 2008.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Is there any subsequent report that you're</li> <li>aware of?</li> </ul>		Page 209
15:51	<ul> <li>Q. MR. McDONELL: The last report I've seen is</li> <li>from January this year, 2008.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Is there any subsequent report that you're</li> <li>aware of?</li> </ul>		Page 209

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 8 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

		Page 210	Page 212
1			
		l	
			·
1			
I			:
		Page 211	Page 213
	2	Q. So what was the purpose of the at risk report?	
15:55	4	A. The purpose of the at risk report was that	
	5 6	for us to have a mechanism to report our what we thought was our cumulative exposure to primarily	
	7	to TomorrowNow.	
15:56	8 9	Q. Was it also exposure to other support providers or other software providers like, for	
	10 11	example, SAP?  A. It wasn't it wasn't intended for customers	
	12	that were going to another software provider. It was	
	13	intended for third party support.	
l			

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 9 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 16, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

	Page 214	Page 216
		· 
		16 Q. And how was the data coming into you 17 worldwide? 18 A. It was coming in through support sales reps. 16:04 19 And so globally a support sales rep, if they came
		20 across a customer that was deemed to be at risk, they 21 would submit that information into Beth Shippy. 22 Q. And how would they do that? 23 A. Via e-mail.
	Page 215	Page 217

55 (Pages 214 to 217)

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 1 I, WENDY E. ARLEN, a Certified Shorthand 2 Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the 3 foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the 4 truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the 5 6 within-entitled cause; 7 That said deposition was taken down in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time 8 and place therein stated, and that the testimony of the 9 said witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by 10 computer, under my direction and supervision. 11 That before completion of the deposition, 12 review of the transcript [X] was [] was not 13 14 requested. If requested, any changes made by the 15 deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto. 16 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 17 attorney for either or any of the parties to the said 18 19 deposition nor in any way interested in the event of this cause and that I am not related to any of the 20 DATED: October 2, parties thereto. 21 22 23

WENDY E. ARLEN CSR, No. 4355

25

24

#### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 11 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 23, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 256

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

--000--

ORACLE CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; ORACLE, USA, INC., a Colorado Corporation, and ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, a California Corporation,

Plaintiffs,

Vs. No. 07-CV-01658-PJH (EDL)

SAP AG, a German Corporation, SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware CORPORATION, TOMORROWNOW, INC., a Texas Corporation, and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF

ORACLE CORPORATION

Designee: RICHARD CUMMINS

\_\_\_\_

Tuesday, September 23, 2008

Volume II, Pages 256 - 436

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Reported By: WENDY E. ARLEN, CSR #4355, CRR, RMR Job 412497

Merrill Legal Solutions (800) 869-9132

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 12 of 15

# RICHARD CUMMINS September 23, 2008 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

		Page 269	Page 271
		1 dgc 207	ruge 2/1
ļ			
1			
	11	Q. So would you agree with me the best record of	
	12	what the sales rep was saying was the e-mail itself	
10.04	13	because something could be lost in translation?	
10:04	14	MS. HOUSE: Objection, calls for speculation,	
	15 16	overbroad.	
	17	THE WITNESS: The information came in, Beth	
	18	told me that she cut and pasted it directly from the e-mail into the database.	
10:04	19	Q. MR. McDONELL: Okay. What else did you talk	J.
	20	to Beth Shippy about?	
	21	A. We talked about the limitation of what she	
	22	was given, the information came from customers as	
	23	best we could get it. Customers were not, you know,	
10:04	24	customers give you what they want want you to	
	25	have. So there's certainly limitations with that.	
		Page 270	Page 272
	-1		
	1	Sales reps reported this as part of their	
	2 3	overall job. It wasn't that there was a task force	
10:04	4	or anything that that solely did that. So as a sales rep I would have, you know, typically a sales	
10.04	5	rep has several hundred renewals over the course of	
	6	the year. So this is part of what they did in the	
	7	course of their normal job. So information was only	
	8	as good as what they gave her.	
10:05	9	Q. Are you trying to tell us that they didn't	
	10	necessarily always give the information?	
	11	A. No, I'm not saying that. I said they gave	
	12	the information, but, you know, this isn't they	
	13	didn't spend every day going back to this subset of	
10:05	14	customers or anything as part of their part of	: !
	15	their daily job.	
I			
I			
ı			l
ĺ			

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 13 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 23, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Pa	Page 283
Pa	Page 284
	Q. Okay. But I'm talking about on the at risk reporting part of it. So the at risk reporting is now part of the OKS database, right? A. Right.  10:23 24 Q. And the source of some of that information comes from the support sales reps, right?

8 (Pages 281 to 284)

### Case 2:10-cv-00106-LRH-VCF Document 647-5 Filed 07/22/15 Page 14 of 15

RICHARD CUMMINS September 23, 2008
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Page 286  Page 286  Page 288  20:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early 08; is that right? 22 A. That's correct.			Page 28	5	Page	287
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?		1	A. Yes.			
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?	ı					
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?	ļ					
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?	, I					
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?	!					
10:25 19 Q. There it indicates that there was a plan to 20 roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate. 21 It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?			Page 28	6	Page	288
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?	I					
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?	ı					
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?	!					
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?						
roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.  It was actually in early '08; is that right?	10	:25 19	O. There it indicates that there was a plan to	I		
		20	roll out OKS in June of '07, but that's not accurate.			

9 (Pages 285 to 288)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

] ]

12

1.3

14

15

16

1.7

1.8

19

,1 ()

. 1

. 3

.14

2.1

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, WENDY E. ARLEN, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled cause;

That said deposition was taken down in shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place therein stated, and that the testimony of the said witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by computer, under my direction and supervision.

That before completion of the deposition, review of the transcript [igsepi] was  $[\ ]$  was not requested. If requested, any changes made by the deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are appended hereto.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to the said deposition nor in any way interested in the event of this cause and that I am not related to any of the parties thereto.

DATED: October 2, 2008

WENDY E. ARLEN CSR, No. 4355